

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,953	01/21/2005	Jiangron Qiu	3824-050244	1966	
28289	7590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER		
THE WEBB	LAW FIRM, P.C.	RAHLL, I	JERRY T		
700 KOPPERS BUILDING					
436 SEVENTH AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NU			FAI ER NUMBER		
PITTSBURGE	H, PA 15219		2874		
	DATE MA		DATE MAILED: 12/14/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					AV		
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	- PIC		
		10/52	1,953	QIU, JIANGRON			
Office Action Summary		Exami	iner	Art Unit			
		Jerry 1	Γ. Rahll	2874			
2 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress		
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN as of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod for reply is specified above, the maximum so reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. statutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUI to event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) No examplication to become	NICATION. The reply be timely filed SONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ R4	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>21 January</i> :	2005				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 January 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
<i>'</i> _	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	osed in accordance with the pract						
Disposition	of Claims	•					
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4 a)	Of the above claim(s) is/s	are withdrawn from	consideration.				
5)□ CI	aim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are rejected.						
	aim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐ Cl	aim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	on requirement.				
Application	Papers						
9)[] The	e specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)⊠ Th	e drawing(s) filed on <u>21 January</u>	<u>2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ a	accepted or b)	objected to by the Examir	ier.		
Ар	plicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing((s) be held in abey	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	placement drawing sheet(s) includin	-	•	-	` '		
11)∐ Th	e oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examiner.	. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u></u> Acl	knowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[] /	All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.[Certified copies of the priority	documents have t	been received.				
2.[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3.[•		en received in this National	Stage		
* 0	application from the Internation						
" See	the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the c	ertified copies n	ot received.			
Attachment(s)							
	References Cited (PTO-892)			w Summary (PTO-413)			
	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)		
	o(s)/Mail Date <u>11/03/05</u> .		6) 🔲 Other: _		,		

Application/Control Number: 10/521,953

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03 November 2005 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

2. The drawings submitted have been reviewed and determined to facilitate understanding of the invention. The drawings are accepted as submitted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application Publication 2003/0002803 to Trezza et al.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/521,953

Art Unit: 2874

6. Regarding Claim 11, Trezza et al. describes an optical connector (see Fig 10A) having a plurality of holes (1510) for inserting optical fibers and provided at predetermined intervals, where the optical connector substrate is formed of a glass ceramic material (see paragraph 0079). See Further Figures 10A-15 and paragraphs 0078-0112).

Page 3

- 7. Trezza et al. does not specifically describe the accuracy of the center-to-center dimension as within 0.5 μm or the degree of parallelization of the hole axis within 0.1 degree. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use such accuracies, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). The motivation for doing so would have been to reduce insertion error and to reduce optical losses at insertion.
- 8. Regarding Claim 12, Trezza et al. describes the insertion holes in a 2-D honeycomb form (see Fig 10A).
- 9. Regarding Claim 13, Trezza et al. describes the insertion holes tapered (1506) at the optical fiber insertion side.
- 10. Regarding Claim 14, Trezza et al. describes the optical connector as a ferrule (see Fig 11).
- 11. Regarding Claim 15, Trezza et al. describes fixing a substrate (1500) for the optical connector and forming insertion holes with regulated hole axis direction by pulsed laser beam (see paragraph 0090). Trezza doe not specifically describe regulating the hole axis direction of the optical fiber insertion side, but such regulation would be inherent to the process to ensure that the manufacturing process had its intended affect upon the substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,953 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

12. Regarding Claim 16, Trezza et al. describes shaping the end of the insertion holes into a taper (see paragraph 0119).

- 13. Regarding Claim 17, Trezza et al. describes etching the inner wall of the insertion holes and taper part (see Fig 0119).
- 14. Regarding Claim 18, Trezza et al. does not specifically describe the laser beam as a femtosecond laser beam. However, such laser beams are well-known in the art for laser beam machining. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use such a femtosecond laser beam for precise control of the machining process.
- 15. Regarding Claim 19, Trezza et al. describes the etching carried out using hydrofluoric acid and nitric acid (see paragraph 0091).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry T. Rahll whose telephone number is (571) 272-2356. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,953

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry T Rahil

Michelle Connelly-Cushwa
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

12/1405